

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/843,499 04/26/2001		04/26/2001	Radu S. Jasinschi	US 010118	9266
24737	7590	12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS II	NTELLE	CTUAL PROPERT	VENT, JAMIE J		
P.O. BOX 3	001				
BRIARCLII	FF MANO	R, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2616	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/843,499	JASINSCHI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jamie Vent	2616					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	August 2005						
·		s action is non-final.						
3)								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
-	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachmen		<b>4</b> □ • • • •	(DTO 442)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail						
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/843,499

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nafeh (US 5,343,251) in view of Walker et al (US 6,928,233).

## [claim 1]

In regard to Claim 1, Nafeh discloses a method for selecting dominant multi-media cues from a number of video segments, comprising the steps of:

- calculating a multi-media information probability for each frame of the video segments;
- dividing each of the video segments into sub-segments (Column 2 Lines 55-63 and Column 3 Lines 20-57 describes the dividing the video segments into sub segments);
- calculating a probability distribution of multi-media information for each of the sub-segments using the multi-media information for each frame (Column 6 Lines
   6-12 describes calculating the probability information for each sub-segment);

Application/Control Number: 09/843,499

Art Unit: 2616

 combining the probability distribution for each sub-segments to form a combined probability distribution (Column 6 Lines 14-50 describes combining the probability distribution for each sub segment to form the entire segments probability); and

Page 3

• selecting the multi-media information having the highest combined probability in the combined probability distribution as the dominant multi-media cues (Column 5 Lines 52-67 describes selecting of the multi-media information which has desired weight or probability distribution); however, fails to disclose that the dividing each of the video segments into sub-segments using pre-selected multimedia characteristic.

Walker et al discloses a signal processing apparatus wherein the video processor determines scene changes through extraction of visual/audio segments. Furthermore, it is noted in Column 3 Lines 63+ through Column 4 Lines 1-10 describes the dividing of the segments using multimedia characteristic such as speech, music, noise, and silence. The detection of the video segments through these characteristics provides the proper identification of segments through a reliable format completed by the apparatus. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the selection of multi-media cues, as disclosed by Nafeh, and incorporate a system wherein the segments are divided into subsegments using pre-selected multi-media characteristic, as disclosed by Walker et al.

### [claim 2]

In regard to Claim 2, Nafeh discloses a method, wherein the video segments are selected from a group consisting of commercial segments and program segments (Column 2 Lines 1-7 describes the video segments comprise program and commercial segments).

## [claim 3]

Art Unit: 2616

In regard to Claim 3, Nafeh discloses a method, wherein the dividing video segments into subsegments is performed using close caption information included in the video segments (Column 3 Lines 44-45 describes the use of closed captioning for classifying and dividing the video segments into sub-segments).

## [claim 4]

In regard to Claim 4, Nafeh discloses a method, wherein the combining the probability distribution for each sub-segments is performed by the operation selected from a group consisting of an average or a weighted average (Column 5 Lines 64-67 through Column 6 Lines 1-24 describes that the selected group of sub-segments consist of weighted average of the segments for classification).

### [claim 5]

In regard to Claim 5, Nafeh discloses a method wherein the combined probability distribution is formed from probability distributions of sub-segments of multiple programs (Column 2 Lines 55-62 describes that calculations for probability are performed on the sub-segments of multiple programs).

### [claim 6]

In regard to Claim 6, Nafeh discloses a method, which further includes initially selecting multimedia cues characteristic of a given TV program type or commercial (Column 2 Lines 63-67 describes the selection of multi-media cues that are classified as a TV program or commercial).

3. Claims 7-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nafeh (US 5.343,251) in view of Walker et al (US 6,928,233) in further view of Maeda (US 6,580,679).

## [claims 7, 12, & 15]

In regard to Claims 7, 12, and 15, Nafeh in view of Walker et al discloses an apparatus method of segmenting and indexing video, comprising the steps of:

- pre-processing the video (Column 2 Lines 55-62 describes processing the video for classification/indexing);
- selecting program segments from the video (Column 3 Lines 20-32 describes the selecting of program segments from the video);
- dividing the program segments into program sub-segments (Column 2 Lines 55-63 and Column 3 Lines 20-57 describes the dividing the video segments into sub segments);
- storing the indexed program sub-segments (Figure 1a shows the storing of indexed program sub-segments in the memory buffer); however, fails to disclose
- performing genre-based indexing on the program using multi-media cues characteristic of a given genre of program.

Maeda discloses a method of managing file regions on a recording medium. It is noted in Column 5 Lines 15-60 that the program segment is indexed according to genre of the program to allow for the user to access the segments through desired genre that one would like to search. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the classifying method, as disclosed by Nafeh in view of Walker, and incorporate a the method of indexing the segments according to genre, as disclosed by Maeda.

#### [claim 8]

In regard to Claim 8, Nafeh discloses a method of selecting program segments is performed using multi-media cues characteristic of a given type of video segment (Column 2 Lines 1-7 describes the video segments comprise program and commercial segments).

#### [claim 9]

In regard to Claim 9, Nafeh discloses wherein the dividing the program segments into program sub-segments is performed according to closed caption information included in the program

Application/Control Number: 09/843,499 Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

segments (Column 3 Lines 44-45 describes the use of closed captioning for classifying and dividing the video segments into sub-segments).

[claims 10, 13, & 16]

In regard to Claims 10, 13, and 16, Nafeh in view of Walker et al, discloses a method of classifying program segments however; fails to disclose the following:

- comparing the multi-media cues characteristic of a given genre of program to
   each of the program sub-segments; and
- inserting a tag into one of the program sub-segments if there is a match between one of the multi-media cues and sub-segments.

Maeda discloses a method of classifying program segments wherein the segments are compared by the genre of each sub-segment as disclosed in Column 7 Lines 7-37.

Furthermore, a tag is inserted into the program segments, which discloses the genre of the segment as seen in Figures 4a-4b. Thereby allowing the segments to be compared and marked when genres are matching which allows user easier access to the programs that fall under specific genre that they maybe searching. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a system for classifying program segments, as disclosed by Nafeh in view of Walker et al, and incorporate a method of classifying the program segments by genre and marking the genres for comparison, as disclosed by Maeda.

[claims 11, 14, & 17]

In regard to Claims 11, 14, and 17 Nafeh discloses a method, which further includes performing object-based indexing on the program sub-segments (Column 5 Lines 30-67 describes the object-based indexing of the program sub-segments).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamie Vent whose telephone number is 571-272-7384. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on 571-272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/843,499

Art Unit: 2616

Jamie Vent 12/08/05

James J. Groody Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 262 26 (

Page 8